

Histological inspection of colons after experiments showed no differences attributable to drug treatments.

These results show that two distinct pharmacological stimuli can evoke mucus output.

Reference

WINZLER, R.J. (1955). Methods for determination of Serum Glycoproteins. *Methods of Biochemical Analysis*, **2**, 279.

A hyoscine sensitive component of vagal gastric relaxation

O.A. DOWNING & J.S. MORRIS

Pharmacological Laboratories, Department of Pharmacy, The University of Aston in Birmingham, Gosta Green, Birmingham B4 7ET

Stimulation of the vagus nerve to the guinea-pig stomach during muscarinic blockade results in gastric relaxation, an effect mediated by non-cholinergic, non-adrenergic nerves and sensitive to ganglion blocking drugs (Beani, Bianchi & Crema, 1971).

We have found that vagal stimulation during ganglionic blockade alone also caused a gastric relaxation which is sensitive to hyoscine.

Whole stomachs with vagi attached were removed from albino guinea-pigs of either sex (wt. range 300-500 g) and set up in a 100 ml organ bath containing McEwans' (1956) ringer. Intraluminal pressure changes were recorded by a method similar to that described by Paton & Vane (1963) but using a Devices low pressure transducer (UPI) and pen recorder.

Supramaximal stimulation of the vagi at frequencies of between 1.0 and 40 Hz resulted in a contraction of the musculature and a rise in intraluminal pressure. Hexamethonium (55.2 μ M) and pempidine (15 μ M) caused a reversal of this vagal response to a relaxation and a consequent fall in intraluminal pressure. This is similar to the effect seen with hyoscine (0.23 μ M) but the following differences were apparent: (a) The latency of the relaxations with ganglion blockers alone was longer (1.65 ± 0.08 s (mean \pm s.e. mean; $n = 9$) than that seen with hyoscine (1.23 ± 0.11 s (mean \pm s.e. mean; $n = 7$) ($P < 0.01$). For these experiments the vagi were stimulated 20 mm from the point of entry into the stomach. (b) With hyoscine alone, following cessation of stimulation, the tone returns to the baseline slowly (up to 90 s). In contrast, with ganglion blockers alone,

the relaxations were followed by an immediate return to the baseline; or a rebound contraction. The amplitude of the rebound contractions increased with frequency of stimulation, with duration of bursts of stimuli and with physostigmine (0.015 μ M).

Low concentrations of hyoscine (0.023 μ M), which had little or no effect on basal tone, caused a $53.6 \pm 5.2\%$ (mean \pm s.e. mean; $n = 12$) reduction of vagal relaxations produced in the presence of ganglion blockers. Hyoscine also reduced or abolished the rebound contractions.

These observations could be explained by the presence of muscarinic receptors on the non-cholinergic, non-adrenergic ganglion cells. In this context it is germane to note that Crema, Frigo & Lecchini (1970) have shown that descending inhibition in guinea-pig and cat colon is selectively inhibited by hyoscine.

The rebound contractions following nerve stimulation could be due to acetylcholine released pre-ganglionically diffusing to muscarinic receptors on the smooth muscle.

J.S.M. is supported by the Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.

References

- BEANI, L., BIANCHI, L. & CREMA, A. (1971). Vagal non-adrenergic inhibition of guinea-pig stomach. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **217**, 259-279.
- CREMA, A., FRIGO, G.M. & LECCHINI, S. (1970). A pharmacological analysis of the peristaltic reflex in the isolated colon of the guinea-pig or cat. *Br. J. Pharmac.*, **39**, 334-345.
- MC EWAN, L.M. (1956). The effect on isolated rabbit heart of vagal stimulation and its modification by cocaine, hexamethonium and ouabain. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **131**, 678-89.
- PATON, W.D.M. & VANE, J.R. (1963). Analysis of the responses of the isolated stomach to electrical stimulation and to drugs. *J. Physiol. Lond.*, **165**, 10-46.